

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DRPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addess: COMMISSIOUSER FOR PATENTS HO. Boal (450) Alexandra. Trginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/469,726	12/21/1999	XIN WANG	111325-050100	5313
22204 NIXON DE A D	7590 . 07/13/2007		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			DADA, BEEMNET W	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128	N DC 20004-2128	128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 2000+ 2120		2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/469,726	WANG, XIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Beemnet W. Dada	2135				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •	ALO OFT TO EVOIDE AMOUNTIL	O) OD THUTTY (20) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nejly filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ag	<u>oril 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•				
4) 🖂	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, ,					
7.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on April 30, 2007. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 04/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the art on record fails to teach generating a proxy key based on a public key corresponding to the selected recipient. Examiner disagrees.
- 3. Examiner would point out that, Wright (US 6,084,969 teaches encrypting original document with a session key to create an encrypted document [column 5, lines 21-22 and column 7, lines 12-13]. Wright further teaches encrypting at the document at the proxy server using the private key (i.e., proxy key) of the proxy server [column 14, lines 23-27]. It is understood by the examiner the private key of the proxy server which is based on the public key of the proxy server corresponds to the selected recipient (Note that the public key of the proxy server correspond to unique public keys of pagers (i.e., senders/receivers), and the database is accessed according the user identification number of the sender and therefore the public key corresponds to the selected recipient and the proxy key (private key) is retrieved (i.e., generated) indirectly based on the user identification number, see column 11, line 65-column 12, lines 5).
- 4. In page 2, 2nd paragraph of the remarks, applicant further argues that "proxy keys it generates may be published ... uses the proxy key must be performed in private as well"

Application/Control Number: 09/469,726

Art Unit: 2135

5. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "proxy keys it generates may be published ... uses the proxy key must be performed in private as well") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Examiner asserts that the art on record teaches the claim limitations and therefore the rejection is respectfully maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 10-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. (US 6,084,969) in view of Jakobsson (US 6,587,946).
- 8. The rejection is being applied for the same reason as set forth in the previous office action, pages 2-9.
- 9. Claims 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. (US 6,084,969) in view of Jakobsson (US 6,587,946) and further in view of Irish Times "Encryption Technology to Thwart Computer Hackers System Should Protect Security of E-Commerce" (City Edition).

Application/Control Number: 09/469,726

Art Unit: 2135

10. The rejection is being applied for the same reason as set forth in the previous office action, pages 10-12.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beemnet W. Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,726

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Beemnet W Dada

July 5, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100